

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2024)

Department Name:	IFA	Date:	6/19/24	Total Rule Count:	14
IAC #:	265	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	Chapter # 22	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	Iowa Code section 16.5(1)“r”
Contact Name:	Lisa Connell	Email:	Lisa.connell@iowaeda.com	Phone:	515-348-3163

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The intended benefit of chapter 22 is to describe the policies and procedures applicable to the Iowa Aftercare Services Rent Subsidy Program. The program will be discontinued effective June 30, 2024.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

No, the program has been discontinued.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

N/A

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

N/A

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

N/A

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

The program will be discontinued effective June 30, 2024.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Yes the chapter is obsolete.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

265—Chapter 22

***RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION* (list rule number[s] or include text if available):**

None.

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	14
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	2470
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	65

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

No.